

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10 AT TACOMA

11 MICHAEL J. MAJOR,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF  
15 SCHOOLS, STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Defendants.

Case No. C08-5438FDB

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE  
STATEMENT

16 Defendants move pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) for a more definite statement. Plaintiff  
17 filed a response purporting to comply with the motion for a more definite statement. Defendants  
18 have replied contending, however, that Plaintiff still has not provided information sufficient to put the  
19 State fairly on notice of the claims against it. Defendants ask that the Court enter an Order requiring  
20 Plaintiff to supply information in four enumerated areas.

21 The Court having reviewed the Complaint, the motion, response, and reply concludes that the  
22 motion is well-taken and should be granted. Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 8, a plaintiff must, among other  
23 things, provide "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to  
24 relief." Neither Plaintiff's Complaint nor his response to the motion is short or plain, and neither  
25 document shows that Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

26 ORDER - 1

1 ACCORDINGLY,


2 IT IS ORDERED:

3 1. By no later than Friday, October 30, 2008, Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint that  
4 states:

- 5 a. the specific actions(s) that the State, its agencies and/or officials allegedly committed,  
6 including the date and place that these actions allegedly occurred;
- 7 b. the specific cause(s) of action that Plaintiff asserts against the State, its agencies  
8 and/or officials, and how the State's alleged action(s) relate thereto;
- 9 c. the specific harm(s) that the Plaintiff alleges he and his six children suffered as the  
10 result of the action(s) of the State, its agencies, and/or officials; and,
- 11 d. the specific "court and state rulings against the plaintiff and his children: that Plaintiff  
12 is asking this Court to void and reverse.

13 2. Failure to timely and substantively comply with this Order may result in dismissal of this  
14 cause of action.

15  
16 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008.

17  
18   
19 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE